# NUMBERS IN FLUX: INVESTIGATING THE CHAOS-BASED BANKRUPTCY MODEL'S EFFICACY IN PREDICTING BANKRUPTCIES AMIDST THE COVID-19 CRISIS

# **Grace Williams**

California State University, Stanislaus

# Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused unprecedented damage to businesses across various industries, leading to a surge in bankruptcy filings worldwide. To predict COVID-19-related bankruptcies, this study examines the effectiveness of the Chaos Based Bankruptcy Model in forecasting bankruptcy using daily stock market returns. The Chaos Based Bankruptcy Model measures the degree of chaos in a system using the Lyapunov exponent calculated from the time series of daily stock prices. The model is based on the hypothesis that unhealthy systems exhibit less chaos than healthy systems.

Using data from before the pandemic, the binary logistic regression model successfully predicted the bankruptcy status of a company 70.8% of the time. The model compares the Lyapunov exponents of firms approaching bankruptcy with those of non-bankrupt pair match firms, based on the newer NAICS code, to identify firms that are more likely to declare bankruptcy. The coefficient of the model's Lyapunov exponent variable was -8.918, significant at 0.024 levels, indicating that the fewer the levels of chaos observed in the stock price trends of a firm, the higher the probability of bankruptcy. This paper provides a novel method of bankruptcy prediction, which has not been explored in previous studies. Furthermore, this method has the potential to identify high-risk businesses in advance of financial stress, allowing companies to take preventive measures and avoid filing for bankruptcy. Future research will focus on applying this model to specific industries to predict bankruptcy risk in those industries, which will enable policymakers and investors to make informed decisions.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, Bankruptcy, Chaos Based Bankruptcy Model, Lyapunov exponent, Binary logistic regression, Time series, NAICS code, Financial distress.

# INTRODUCTION

In 1996, two of this study's authors published "A Chaos Approach to Bankruptcy Prediction" (Lindsay and Campbell). That paper used a statistic to quantify chaos, the Lyapunov exponent, estimated from daily stock market return time series data from bankrupt firms and pair match firms based on their SIC (Standard Industry Classification) codes. That study showed that firms approaching bankruptcy exhibited less chaos than pair match firms not approaching bankruptcy. This distinction was used to construct a single variable bankruptcy prediction model with Type 1 and Type 2 error rates of 35 percent. Since publication, the article has been cited sixty-six times.

In 2019, the current study's authors published "The Chaos Based Bankruptcy Model – Current Status" in the *Journal of Accounting and Finance*. That study utilized a binary logistic regression model and used data from 2009 through 2014. It obtained comparable results to the 1996 study.

The COVID-19 pandemic is an exogenous event that the stock market had no way of anticipating and that impacted firms across the economy simultaneously. The current study attempts to use the Chaos Based Bankruptcy Model to predict COVID-19 related bankruptcies, using data from before the pandemic.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

On December 31, 2019, the World Health Organization (WHO) was informed of pneumonia-like cases of unknown cause in Wuhan City, China. A novel coronavirus was identified as the cause by Chinese authorities on January 7, 2020 and was temporarily named "2019-nCoV." [https://www.euro.who.int/en/ health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/novelcoronavirus-2019-ncov]

This is a brief history of COVID-19 in 2020: On January 20, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (CDC) disclosed the first U.S. laboratoryconfirmed case of COVID-19 from samples taken on January 18 in Washington state. On March 13, President Trump declared a nationwide emergency. On March 15, U.S. states began to pause public participation activities to prevent the spread of the disease. On March 28, the White House extended social distancing measures until the end of April. On April 3, the CDC announced mask wearing guidelines and recommended that everyone wear a mask when outside of the home. By April 13, most U.S. states reported widespread cases of COVID-19.

The impact on the economy was swift and devastating. By May 9, the U.S. unemployment rate reached 14.7 percent, the worst rate since the Great Depression. Over twenty million people were no longer working. The hospitality, leisure, and healthcare industries took the greatest hits. On June 8, the World Bank stated that COVID-19 would plunge the Global Economy into the worst recession since World War II. [https://www.cdc.gov/museum/timeline/covid19.html]

There is an extensive bankruptcy prediction literature most of which comprises tests using various prediction models. Bellovary, Giacomino and Akers (2007) examine 165 models for assessing bankruptcy. Regardless of the methodology used, two issues recur in the bankruptcy prediction literature: misclassification errors and tests for external validity.

Of the misclassification errors observed using the various models, there are two types. A Type 1 error misclassifies a firm which actually will go bankrupt as one which will not go bankrupt. A Type 2 error misclassifies a firm which will not go bankrupt as one which will, indeed, become bankrupt. Type 1 errors have been estimated to be 35 times costlier to decision makers than Type 2 errors (Altman, 1977). Jones (1987) discusses the need to use a validation method to test any newly developed model. Once a model has been developed using one set of data, it should be tested using an independent set of data. Often this is accomplished by testing the model on a hold-out sample. However, in studies with a small sample size, bootstrapping is often used as an alternative. Bootstrapping is a testing technique that estimates the properties of the sampling distribution from the sampling data. It does this through random sampling with replacement of the sampling data (Field, 2013).

Bellovary et al. (2007) show that most prediction models are based on a cross-sectional analysis which compares different firms on the basis of financial variables reported at a specific point in time. Zmijewski (1983) identified the 75 individual ratios most often used in distress prediction studies. No

theory has yet been successfully defended to suggest why some variables would be preferable to others (Foster, 1986).

Only occasionally has a bankruptcy prediction study combined a market-based variable with ratios derived from financial statements (White et al, 1994). Further, there is no theoretical reason why a time series approach could not be used. Prior to Lindsay and Campbell (1996), no bankruptcy prediction study used a time series methodology based upon chaos, which is also known as non-linear dynamics. Chaotic systems (Yorke, 1976) appear to be random, when in actuality they are deterministic and predictable over short periods of time. They are extremely sensitive to initial conditions, a phenomenon known as the Butterfly Effect. Chaotic systems have proven quite successful in the prediction of certain endogenously determined catastrophic system failures. Goldberger (1990) applied the concept to predict myocardial infarction. Stock returns have also been shown to exhibit chaotic behavior (Peters, 1991).

Etheridge and Sriram (1993) argue persuasively that economics and finance researchers have already successfully used chaos theory to study stock market behavior.

## HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

This study uses Lyapunov exponents to measure chaos. The exponent measures the rapidity with which a system becomes unpredictable. The larger the exponent, the sooner the system becomes unpredictable. Any system with a positive Lyapunov exponent is chaotic. Goldberger (1990) suggests that healthy systems exhibit more chaos than unhealthy systems. The hypothesis of the study is:

 $H_1$ : The Lyapunov exponent estimated from the stock market returns of pre-pandemic firms approaching bankruptcy will be lower than the exponents of pre-pandemic firms not approaching bankruptcy.

# METHODOLOGY

This study predicts bankruptcies that occurred during the pandemic by using stock market return data from a period of time just prior to the discovery of the existence of a new coronavirus, the COVID-19 virus, infecting and passing between humans. The World Health Organization was informed of an epidemic of unknown cause in Wuhan, China on December 31, 2019. Therefore, the stock market return data used in this study were from the four-year time period ending November 29, 2019. One thousand daily returns were collected to provide a sufficient number of data points to calculate chaos statistics.

On February 25, 2020, the CDC announced that COVID-19 was headed toward pandemic status [https://www.ajmc.com/view/a-timeline-of-covid19-developments-in-2020]. Once this information was public, it would have been discounted into stock prices. Firms which filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection between March 1 and December 31, 2020, were identified from *Kiplinger, Investor's Business Daily* and Retail Dive.com. The bankrupt firms were cross-referenced with daily stock returns listed in the Thomson Reuters Eikon database. Bankrupt firms which lacked a complete set of Eikon daily return data were removed from the sample. To create a control sample, each firm in the bankrupt sample was matched by four-digit NAICS (North American Industry Classification System) code with a non-bankrupt firm to create a pair match. A comparison of the older SIC system to the new NAICS system can be seen at https://siccode.com/page/history-of-sic-codes.

The Lyapunov exponent for each bankrupt firm and its pair match firm were calculated using the Chaos Data Analyzer software package (Sprott and Rowlands, 1992). This study's hypothesis leads to the expectation that bankrupt firms will exhibit less chaos, and hence, will have lower Lyapunov exponents than their corresponding pair match firms. This study uses a binary logistic regression model where the

Volume 11 Issue 4, October-December 2023 ISSN: 2995-4207 Impact Factor: 6.92 https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ma

Lyapunov exponent is the independent variable (covariate) and the categorical variable of not bankrupt/bankrupt (coded as 0/1) is the dependent variable. **RESULTS** 

The test sample is comprised of 24 firms that declared Chapter 11 bankruptcy between March 1 and December 31, 2020, and their NAICS code pair match firms. Daily stock market returns for each of the 48 firms were obtained from Eikon for the four-year period ending November 29, 2019. This period includes 1,000 daily returns. The impact of COVID-19 could not have been discounted into this sample of stock returns, since the World Health Organization was not aware of an epidemic in Wuhan, China until December 31, 2019. The returns were used to calculate Lyapunov exponents for both the test firms and the pair match firms. Table 1 presents the 24 bankrupt companies together with their chapter 11 filing dates, NAICS codes, pair matches, and Lyapunov exponents.

Table 2 shows descriptive statistics of the independent and dependent variables. Table 3 presents the Pearson correlation coefficients between the two variables. The correlation between the dependent variable, not bankrupt/bankrupt, and the independent variable, Lyapunov exponent, is -0.360, and it is significant at the 0.05 level. The negative correlation supports the hypothesis that the Lyapunov exponent estimated from the stock market returns of pre-pandemic firms approaching bankruptcy will be lower than the exponents of pre-pandemic firms not approaching bankruptcy.

Table 4 presents the t-test of the differences between the Lyapunov exponents of bankrupt and pair match firms. The mean of the difference is negative and is significant at the .008 level. These results support the hypothesis.

It is inappropriate to use a linear regression when the dependent variable in a model is a 0/1 categorical variable since the function is discontinuous. The correct methodology is to use a binary logistic regression. In linear regressions, R square is the appropriate measure of how well the model fits the data. In binary logistic regressions, a pseudo-R square serves this function (Field, 2013). Table 5 displays the model's Cox & Snell R Square of 0.144 and the Nagelkerke R square of 0.192.

Table 6 displays the binary logistic regression output. In the model, the Lyapunov exponent is the sole covariant. The coefficient on the log of the Lyapunov exponent variable (B) is -8.918, which is significant at the 0.024 level. These results support the hypothesis.

The binary logistic classification table for the model is presented in Table 7. The model correctly predicts the bankruptcy status of a company 70.8 percent of the time. A naive model, such as a coin toss, would obtain a 50 percent success rate. The model successfully predicts which specific firms will go bankrupt 54.2 percent of the time, and it successfully predicts firms that will not go bankrupt 87.5 percent of the time.

The sample is 24 bankrupt firms and their pair matches. Due to the limited sample size, a set-aside sample was not created. Instead, bootstrapping was used to generate 1,000 samples. The results of bootstrapping for the model are shown in Table 8. Bootstrapping does not change the values of the estimated coefficients of the variables; it only impacts the coefficients' significance and their confidence intervals. The estimated coefficient on the Lyapunov exponent variable remained significant at 0.05 level.

# SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The outcomes of this study are consistent with the notion that unhealthy systems display less chaos than healthy systems. The results of the binary logistic regression model support the hypothesis that firms approaching bankruptcy display less chaos, as measured by the Lyapunov exponent, than pair

match firms not approaching bankruptcy. The Nagelkerke R square of the model is 0.192. The coefficient of the model's Lyapunov exponent variable was significant at the 0.024 level, and the bankruptcy status of a company was correctly predicted 70.8 percent of the time. Future research will focus on applying the model to specific industries.

#### TABLE 1 LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS OF BANKRUPT AND PAIR-MATCH FIRMS

Bankrupt Firm Name	Filin Date	U	NAI Code		Firm	krupt 1 Junov	Pair Match		Pair Match Firm Lyapunov		
Real Goods	- 1- 1-						<b>TA7</b>	T	(		
Solar	01 01		4237	20	0.313		Watsco, Inc.		0.596		-
Brands	uestem rands 3/9/2020		4541	10 0.555		5	Wayfare		0.582		
Foresight Energy	oresight						Alliance Resource				
Partnership	3/10/	/2020	21211	1	0.419	)		iners	0.558		-
Omagine	3/10/	/2020	2372	10	0.494	1	LGI Inc.	Homes,	0.628		
Bankrupt Name	Firm	Filin Date	U		AICS	Bank Firm Lyapı	-	Pair I Name	Match	Pair Match Firm Lyapu	nov
Generation	Zero					J - 1				J - 1	
Group		3/13/	2020	51	8210	0.424		Seagate Te	ech.	0.57	
Globe Photo		3/14/	/14/2020 5		41921 0.588			Gartner, Inc.		0.595	
BioRestorati Therapies	ive	3/20/	/2020	54	.1715	0.460		Iqvia Ho Inc.	ldings	0.606	
Carbo Ceran	nics	3/29/	/2020	56	1990	0.599		Quinstree	t Inc.	0.567	
Broadvision		3/30/	/2020	44	3142	0.574		Best Buy I	nc.	0.553	
Whiting Petroleum		4/1/2	020	42	4720	0.627		Sprague Resources	s LP	0.547	
Yuma Energ	У	4/15/	2020	45	4310	0.282		Ferrellgas	LP	0.272	
Eco-Stim Er Solutions	nergy	4/16/	2020	21	3112	0.462		Halliburto	on Co	0.645	
United Cannabis		4/20/ 0	/202		1499	0.563		Document Technologies		0.447	
Diamond Offshore			,					Patterson Energy Inc			
Drilling		-, ,	2020		3111	0.588		Nondata	- <b>T</b>	0.649	
Stage Stores		5/11/2		44	8140	0.549		Nordstron	n inc.	0.588	
Intelsat		5/13/	2020	51	7919	0.607		Comcast		0.623	

Multidisciplinary Research Journal of Management and Accounting

## Multidisciplinary Research Journal of Management and Accounting

Volume 11 Issue 4, October-December 2023 ISSN: 2995-4207 Impact Factor: 6.92 https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ma

			1	I	
J. C. Penney	5/15/2020	452210	0.608	Target	0.595
<b>Centric Brands</b>	5/18/2020	315240	0.056	Cintas Corp	0.608
GNC	6/23/2020	446191	0.473	Natural Grocers	0.453
	, ,,	,		Abercrombie &	
<b>RTW Retailwinds</b>	7/13/2020	448190	0.512	Fitch	0.579
Ascena	7/23/2020	448120	0.621	Express Inc.	0.609
				<b>American Eagle</b>	
<b>Tailored Brands</b>	8/2/2020	448110	0.504	Outfitters	0.607
				Burlington	
Stein Mart	8/12/2020	452210	0.422	Stores Inc.	0.572
Francesca's	12/3/2020	448120	0.415	Express Inc.	0.654
TABLE 2 DESCRI	PTIVE STA	TISTIC	S		

#### ABLE 2 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

<u>Variable</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Minimun</u>	<u>n Maximum</u>	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation
Not Bankrupt/Bankrupt 48		0	1	0.50	0.505
Lyapunov Exponent	48	0.056	0.654	0.523	0.116

#### **TABLE 3 PEARSON CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS**

Ν	=	48
---	---	----

	Not Bankrupt/ Bank	rupt Lyapunov Exponent -
Not Bankrupt /Bankrupt	1	0.360*
Lyapunov Exponent.		1
* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.		

#### **TABLE 4**

#### T-TEST OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE LYAPUNOV **EXPONENTS OF BANKRUPT AND PAIR MATCH FIRMS**

N = 48			
<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation	<u>t</u>	<u>Two-Sided p</u>
-0.08	0.14091	-2.88	0.008

#### TABLE 5 PSEUDO R SQUARE OF THE BINARY LOGISTIC REG

	KESSIUN				
<u>Cox &amp; Snell R Square</u>	<u>Nagelkerke R Square</u>				
0.144	0.192				

DEGGION

TADIE

	TABLE 6 BINARY LOGISTIC REGRESSION OUTPUT						
	N=48						
	<u>B</u>	<u>S.E.</u>	Wald	<u>df</u>	<u>Sig.</u>	Exp	<u>(B)</u>
Lyapunov	-8.918	3.957	5.078	1	.024	000	)
Constant	4.810	2.201	4.775	1	.029	122	.770
	TABLE 7 BINARY TABLE N = 48	LOGIS	FIC CLA	SSIFICA	ATION		
	Ob	served	<u>Predi</u>	<u>cted</u>			
	No	t Bankrupt	Bank	rupt	Per	cent Corre	et
Not Bankrupt	21		3	3		5	
Bankrupt	11		13	13 5		2	
Overall Percentage					70.	8	
TABLE 8 BOOT	STRAP TE	EST TO VA	LIDATE N	IODEL			
					<u>95 P</u> Interval	ercent	Confidence
			<u>B</u>		<u>Sig.</u>	Lower	<u>Upper</u>
Lyapunov E	-8.	918	0.046	-26.666	-2.241		
Constant			4.8	310	0.060	1.099	14.583
Results are samples.	e based o	n 1,000 k	ootstrap				
DEFEDENCEO							

## REFERENCES

- AJMC Staff. (2021). A Timeline of COVID-19 Developments in 2020. American Journal of Managed Care. Retrieved from https://www.ajmc.com/view/a-timeline-of-covid19-developments-in-2020
- American Institute of Physics. (1992). *Chaos Data Analyzer*. The Academic Software Library of North Carolina State University, Raleigh, N.C.

- Bellovary, J.L., Giacomino, D.E., & Akers, M.D. (2007), A Review of Bankruptcy Prediction Studies: 1930 to Present. *Journal of Financial Education*, *33*, 1–42.
- Campbell, A., Lindsay, D.H., Soydemir, G., & Tan, K. (2019), The Chaos-Based Bankruptcy ModelCurrent Status. *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, *19*, 11–17.
- Centers for Disease Control. (n.d.). *CDC Museum COVID-19 Timeline*. Retrieved January 5, 2022, from https://www.cdc.gov/museum/timeline/covid19.html
- Etheridge, H.L., & Sriram, R.S. (1993). Chaos Theory and Nonlinear Dynamics: An Emerging Theory with Implications for Accounting Research. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, *12*, 67–100.
- Euro World Health Organization. (2022). Retrieved from https://www.euro.who.int/en/healthtopics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics* (4<sup>th</sup> Edition). Los Angeles, CA: Sage Publications.
- Foster, G. (1986). Financial Statement Analysis. Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice-Hall.
- Goldberger, A.L. (1990). Nonlinear Dynamics, Fractals and Chaos: Applications to Cardiac Electrophysiology. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, *18*(2), 195–198.
- Jones, F. (1987). Current Techniques in Bankruptcy Prediction. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 6, 131–164.
- Kiplinger.(2021,July27).Retrievedfromhttps://www.kiplinger.com/investing/603194/bankruptcyfilings-chalked-up-to-covid-19-2021
- Krantz, M. (2020). 24 Bankruptcies Prove You Can Lose 90% Of Your Money On Stocks. *Investor's Business Daily*. Retrieved from https://www.investors.com/etfs-and-funds/sectors/bankruptcompanies-prove-you-can-lose-90-percent-money-stocks/
- Lindsay, D.H., & Campbell, A. (1996). A Chaos Approach to Bankruptcy Prediction. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, *12*(4), 1–9.
- Peters, E.E. (1991). Chaos and Order in the Capital Markets. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Retail Dive. (2021, February 5). *The running list of 2020 retail bankruptcies*. Retrieved from https://www.retaildive.com/news/the-running-list-of-2020-retail-bankruptcies/571159/
- Sprott, J.C., & Rowlands. (1992). *G. Manual for the Chaos Data Analyzer Program*. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, N.C: Academic Software Library.

- White, G.I., Sodhi, A.C., & Fried, D. (1994). *The Analysis and Use of Financial Statements*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Yorke, J.A. (1976). Simple Mathematical Models with Very Complicated Dynamics. *Nature*, *2*(61), 459–67.
- Zmijewski, M.E. (1983). *Predicting Corporate Bankruptcy: An Empirical Comparison of the Extant Financial Distress Models*. Working paper, State University of New York at Buffalo.