Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

HOT AND HUMID ECONOMIES: UNDERSTANDING THE TROPICAL CLIMATE PARADOX

Grace Wambui Maina

Department of Economics at Elmira College in Elmira.

Abstract

Historically, economists have overlooked the influence of geographical factors on economic development, yet early scholars like Montesquieu and Huntington recognized the potential correlation between climate and prosperity. This study delves into the specific aspects of climate, particularly temperature and rainfall, to explore their impact on productivity and per capita income variation among nations. Drawing from prior research in economics and ecology, we aim to identify the key climate attributes that underpin this connection.

Pioneering works in this field, such as Kamarck (1973) and the economic historian Landes (1998), laid the foundation for investigating the interplay between geography, climate, and economics. Furthermore, scholars from diverse fields, including historian Crosby (1986) and biologist Diamond (1997), offered compelling explanations spanning centuries and millennia regarding these interconnected factors.

Recent economists, starting with Gallup et al. (1999), have conducted extensive cross-country analyses, revealing a negative relationship between tropical climates and both current and future per capita output. However, as Sachs (2001) aptly noted, the enduring phenomenon of tropical regions remaining relatively impoverished begs further exploration.

Keywords: Climate, temperature, rainfall, economic development, per capita income.

Introduction

The relationship between geographical factors and economic development has historically been ignored by economists. However, views about the correlation between temperature and climate have been expressed in works dating as far back as Montesquieu (1748) and Huntington (1915).

This paper examines specific features of climate, namely temperature and rainfall, as possible factors that might influence productivity and hence income per capita across countries. Building on previous studies by economists and ecologists who have studied the impact of climate on agricultural productivity and disease burden, this investigation seeks to pinpoint the characteristics of climate that are important in establishing that link.

Earlier works on this topic include Kamarck (1973) and later, economic historian Landes (1998). Researchers in other fields, Crosby (1986) and Diamond (1997), a historian and a biologist respectively, have provided detailed and plausible explanations of the connection between geographical, climatic and economic factors.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Their studies have a historical focus extending over several centuries (Crosby (1986)) and several millennia (Diamond (1997)). Since then economists, beginning with Gallup et al. (1999) haveconducted comprehensive cross-country studies to investigate this relationship. They have demonstrated that being a tropical country is negatively related to output per capita both in levels and growth rates. However as Sachs (2001) eloquently stated:

"The most notable feature of global economic development – the continuing impoverishment of the tropics – remains to be explained".

Gallup and Sachs (2000a) controlling for differences in technology find that total factor productivity is less in tropical vs temperate climate zones. Most explanations of the geographical limitations of agriculture in the tropics focus on problematic soils in humid tropics, and rainfall variability and limited irrigation potential in the arid tropics. Some features of climate that can affect agricultural productivity have been studied along with effects on disease burdens (Gallup et al. (2000b)). The lack of freezing temperatures in the tropics causes a much greater number of agricultural pests. Masters and McMillan (2001) present convincing findings, which point to the presence or absence of frost as a significant factor influencing economic development. Human tropical diseases such as malaria reduce agricultural labor productivity.

Additional factors explaining lower agricultural potential in the tropics are pest and disease loads, and net photosynthetic potential differences. Although the tropics are generally warmer and sunnier throughout the year than temperate zones, the climate has disadvantages for photosynthesis.

The humid tropics are often cloudy, blocking sunlight, and the high nighttime temperatures cause high respiration that slows plant growth. While discussing the thermal physiology of organisms, Lafferty (2009) explains how warm temperatures speed up biochemical reactions which require higher food consumption rates. These in turn can decrease survivorship rates. Thus the relationship between an organism's productivity and temperature should follow a convex function.

Panel estimates by Schlenker and Lobell (2010) find that higher temperatures reduce agricultural yields. Using panel data on rice firms in Asia, Welch et al. (2010) find that higher minimum temperature reduces yields but higher maximum temperature increases yields. While studying land invasions in Brazil, Hidalgo et al. (2010) estimate that rainfall deviations lower agricultural incomes. Haile (2005) finds that the rainfall pattern in Sub-Saharan Africa is influenced by large-scale intra-seasonal and inter-annual climate variability.

Dell at al. (2014) provide anextensive summary of the literature on studies using panel data to estimate the effect of temperature and precipitation on industrial output. They note that the studies consistently estimate a 2 percent loss of output per 1°C. These studies are consistent with micro-level studies of labour productivity as well (Niemila et al. (2002)).

Another climate related factor potentially affecting productivity is humidity. As temperature and humidity increase, malaria transmission can increase from zero to epidemic rates (Lafferty (2009)). The diversity of infectious diseases of humans is higher in countries near the equator than in countries at higher latitude (Guernier et al. 2004). The diversity of all disease categories increases with the maximum range of precipitation, and most disease categories increase with monthly temperature

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

range. Wolfe et al. (2007) found that infectious diseases of humans were equally likely to have originated in tropical or temperate regions. The early humans that migrated out of Africa and into temperate latitudes initially left several infectious diseases behind: only one of the 10 major tropical diseases, cholera, followed into temperate latitudes. However 11,000 years ago, several infectious diseases of newly domesticated temperate animals jumped to humans and most of these novel infectious diseases subsequently spread into the tropics (Wolfe et al. 2007).

The high diversity of infectious diseases in the tropics could result from a high diversity of vectors, perhaps due to differences in vector diversity. The inability of human tropical diseases to spread from the tropics to temperate regions may be due to the higher fraction of tropical diseases that have a specific vector (80% tropical vs. 13% temperate) and/or a wild animal reservoir (80% tropical vs. 20% temperate; Wolfe et al. 2007).

A seminal paper by Acemoglu, Johnson and Robinson (2001) takes a different route and suggests that the quality of institutions plays a more prominent role in comparative development outcomes. They further pinpoint the type of colonization that a country was subjected to as being responsible for institutional quality. In the end though, even this finding traces back to climate because Acemoglu et al. (2001) conclude that the type of colonization was determined by the mortality rates of the colonizers in the conquered countries, which in turn were determined by the disease ecology of those lands. An important caveat to keep in mind with studies that control for the effects of institutions is one suggested by Dell et al. (2014). The authors point out that if hot climates caused low-quality institutions which in turn lead to low income, then controlling for institutions can have the effect of partially eliminating the explanatory power of climate, even if climate is the underlying cause. Thus claims by researchers as to the supremacy of institutions as the primary determinant of income may be subject to this critique (Rodrik et al. 2004).

Studies measuring aggregate economic activity and climate have also found a link between the two. Nordhaus (2006) introduces data on global economic activity, the G-Econ database, which measures economic activity for all large countries, measured at a 1° latitude by 1° longitude scale. Amongst other results, he finds that the relationship between temperature and output is negative when measured on a per capita basis. Dell et al. (2009), using data for 12 countries in the Americas find a statistically significant negative relationship between income and average temperatures but little or no impact of average precipitation levels. Newer studies using panel data (Dell et al. 2012, Hsiang (2010)) report a negative link between temperature and per capita income but again no effects of precipitation. Barrios et al. (2010) demonstrate that higher rainfall is associated with faster growth in sub-Saharan Africa but not elsewhere.

Thus the summary evidence on climate and average income, demonstrates a definite link for temperature but a weaker one for precipitation.

Following that thread, this paper focuses its attention on climate specific variables to try to weed out the effect that 'the tropical effect' could have on levels and growth rates of GDP per capita. Instead of a broad category that represents the percentage of land that lies in the tropics as inGallup et al. (1999), the contribution of this paper is to use data on temperature and rainfall to examine whether or not

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

those particular variables contribute to the 'tropical effect' or whether it is other features of the geographical tropics that are more significant.

The next section describes the data used in the study. This is followed by an analysis and interpretation of the results. The last section concludes with some comments on the direction of future research on this topic.

1. Data

To facilitate a direct comparison between the results in this study and those of Gallup et al. (1999), their original dataset for the economic, social, policy and geographical variables was used. All but the geographical variables are from established, widely available sources¹. The physical geography and malaria index variables are contributions of Gallup et al. (1999)².

The variables relating to temperature and rainfall are direct contributions of this paper. The variables were calculated based on a data set compiled by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) (1997). The data set contains information on worldwide temperatures and precipitation for at least one location in each country throughout the world, whenever possible. For large countries the stations are selected to provide comprehensive geographical coverage³. The data are presented as an annual average calculated over a record length ranging from 3-105 years, averaging about 30 years for most countries. The temperature data consists of values of average daily temperature in January, April, July and October, as well as extreme maximum and extreme minimum temperatures, all in Fahrenheit. The precipitation data consists of average precipitation in each month as well as an annual total, all in inches. Dell et al. (2014) summarise the various data sets commonly used in the climate and economic analyses. Out of the two methods suggested for aggregating the data, spatially or using population weights, this study uses the former. From the raw data set, country averages for total annual rainfall, mean temperature and the difference between the extreme maximum and minimum temperatures⁴ were computed. A table listing the values of these variables for each country used in the study is provided in Appendix C.

2. Results

The linkage between climate and development is investigated both on levels and growth rates of GDP per capita. It begins by estimating an equation of the form $Yit \square \square 0 \square \square Zi \square \square Wi \square \square Xi \square \square i$ where Yit is GDP per capita for country i at time t, Zi is a vector of geography variables created by Gallup et al (1999), Wi is a vector of social and political variables which have been shown to influence GDP and Xi is a vector of our climate variables. The estimation is carried out using standard OLS on this specification of the equation (Table 1) and on the log of levels of GDP per capita (Table 2). Table 3 replaces GDP per capita with the growth rate of GDP/capita from 1965-90 as the dependent variable and adds a measure for initial GDP/capita in 1965 to the vector of independent variables.

¹ See Appendix A for a description of the variables and the sources as well as Gallup et al (1999).

² Detailed explanations regarding the calculations of these variables are contained in the appendix to Gallup et al (1999).

³ For more details on the coverage of the data and the sources used, see the NCDC (1997) document.

⁴ See Appendix A for details on how these values were computed.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Table 1: Dependent Variable GDP Per Capita 1990

Variable	(1) GDP90	(2) GDP90	(3) GDP90	(4) GDP90
Tropicar	-2299.33* (3.58)	-802.53 (0.91)		
Pop100km	1038.74 (1.44)	974.877 (1.18)	-487.98 (0.62)	48.66 (0.06)
Open6590	4648.09* (5.42)	3695.15* (4.01)	2665.83* (3.28)	2668.38* (3.4)
Instit	1532.3* (9.02)	1618.699* (8.44)	1222.16* (6.71)	1216.88* (6.93)
Lifex65			154.19* (4.35)	149.13* (4.35)
School			1202.32* (2.7)	1123.88** (2.61)
Meantemp		-88.09** (2.2)	-49.53*** (1.68)	5.06 (0.14)
Extempdiff				36.99** (2.42)
Constant	-3562.49* (3.2)	1479.5 (0.5)	-7726.22** (2.44)	-13854.96* (3.49)
Number Observations	of	(0.5)	(2,44)	(3.49)
	97	81	73	73
R ²	0.8674	0.8760	0.9143	0.9214

Note:

Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of t-statistics.

Table 2: Dependent Variable Log of GDP Per Capita 1990

Variable	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	LGDP90	LGDP90	LGDP90	LGDP90
Tropicar	-0.417** (2.17)	-0.21*** (1.81)		

^{*} Denotes significance at 1% level.

^{**} Denotes significance at 5% level.

^{***}Denotes significance at 10% level.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Pop100km	0.724* (4.04)	0.21*** (1.87)	0.267** (2.33)	0.245** (2.18)
Open6590	0.588* (2.94)	0.355* (3.06)	0.34* (3.00)	0.3* (2.7)
Instit	0.19* (4.57)	0.109 (4.34)*	0.11* (4.57)	0.117* (4.84)
Meantemp	-0.0166* (1.92)	-0.004 (0.74)		
LnLifex		2.45* (8.26)	2.53* (8.86)	2.67* (9.17)
LnSchool		0.113** (2.44)	0.117** (2.58)	0.114** (2.55)
Extempdiff			0.005* (2.98)	0.004*** (1.79)
AvRain				-0.114** (2.39)
Rain2				0.000087** (2.5)
Constant	(7.84)* (12.27)	-2.05 (1.62)	3.1* (2.81)	-3.31* (2.86)
Number of	(12.2/)	(1.02)	(2.01)	(2.00)
observations	81	73	73	73
<u>R</u> ²	0.8065	0.9385	0.9392	0.9446

Note:

Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of t-statistics.

Table 3: Dependent Variable Growth Rate of GDP Per Capita 1965-90

Variable	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	GDPG6590	GDPG6590	GDPG6590	GDPG6590
Tropicar	-0.904* (2.74)	-1.25* (3.22)	-0.904** (2.31)	

^{*} Denotes significance at 1% level. ** Denotes significance at 5% level.

^{***}Denotes significance at 10% level

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

LGDP65	-2.44* (9.44)	-2.24* (8.5)	-2.32* (9.16)	-2.38* (9.43)
Pop100km	0.998* (2.73)	0.817** (2.16)	0.69*** (1.9)	0.93* (2.45)
Open6590	1.84* (4.62)	1.985* (5.02)	1.88* (4.96)	1.77* (4.72)
Instit	0.248* (2.73)	0.24* (2.66)	0.36* (3.72)	0.375* (3.94)
LnLifex	5.5* (4.91)	5.31* (4.86)	3.82* (3.24)	4.07* (3.45)
LnSchool	0.242 (1.49)	0.267*** (1.69)	0.185 (1.2)	0.19 (1.24)
Meantemp		0.031*** (1.78)	0.35** (2.1)	0.04** (2.25)
Malaria Index			-1.44** (2.71)	-1.55* (3.0)
ExTempDiff				0.018** (2.4)
Constant	-3.76 (0.92)	-6.29 (1.49)	-0.37 (0.08)	-3.06 (0.65)
Number	of			
observations	75	73	73	73
$\frac{\mathbb{R}^2}{\mathbb{R}^2}$	0.7514	0.7594	0.7845	0.7859

Note:

Numbers in parentheses are absolute values of t-statistics.

3. Analysis of Findings

The first regression in Table 1 replicates Gallup et al's (1999) study. It shows that the variable 'tropicar' which represents the percentage of land area in the geographic tropics, is negatively associated with that country's GDP per capita.

^{*} Denotes significance at 1% level.

^{**} Denotes significance at 5% level.

^{***}Denotes significance at 10% level.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Regression 2 adds the climate variable calculated in this paper 'meantemp' which measures the mean temperature for a country. 'Tropicar' then loses significance, probably due to multi-collinearity, and in subsequent regressions it is left out. The other variables enter as expected; 'pop100km' is the proportion of a country's population within 100 km of the coast, 'open6590' is the Sachs-Warner index of openness and measures the proportion of time between 1965-90 that a country was open to international trade, while 'instit' measures the quality of public institutions in the country. These three variables are all positively related to per capita income as expected and demonstrated in earlier studies.

The main result that this study highlights is that mean temperature is a significant determinant of GDP per capita and is negatively related to output, indicating that warmer temperatures have detrimental effects on output. This is not the same as stating that tropical countries have lower per capita income (the main finding of the Gallup et al (1999) study) since being tropical includes a variety of features pertaining to climate, vegetation, soil etc. At the very least it singles out temperature as an important determinant of the 'tropical' characteristic. Moreover, this finding holds while correcting for the effect of institutions, something that has not been found in earlier studies.

The reasons for this phenomenon and the channels through which heat can affect economic activity have been discussed earlier in this paper. They include the impact of infectious diseases and hence life expectancy which may influence labor productivity in manufacturing and services. The same factors could also affect crop yields and labor productivity in agriculture, which together could influence agricultural output and productivity.

To test the robustness of the temperature variable, regression 3 adds two more variables which could impact income, a human development indicator and a measure of human capital. The two variables are 'lifex65', which measures life expectancy in 1965 and 'school', which measure years of secondary schooling in 1965. Both enter as expected and the temperature variable remains negative and significant ('tropicar' is dropped since it lost significance due its correlation with 'meantemp').

Regression 4 adds another variable 'extempdiff' which measures the country average difference in extreme maximum and minimum temperatures.

The results indicate that countries with wider ranges in temperature extremes had higher income levels. There is a fairly strong negative correlation (coeff. = -0.78) between the mean temperature and the difference in extreme temperatures, indicating that colder countries are also more prone to extremes in climate.⁵ A close look at the data shows that these countries have much lower extreme minimum temperatures and not as high extreme maximum temperatures as the warmer climates (not surprising). Hence, extreme cold apparently does not have as detrimental an effect on output as extreme heat.

Table 2 duplicates the above analyses but this time on the log of GDP per capita. This was done in order to facilitate a direct comparison with Gallup et al. (1999) who use the log level of these variables. Regression 5 produces the same results as regression 1 but regression 6 shows that the variable 'meantemp' is not robust to the addition of the human development and educational attainment

⁵ This also explains why 'meantemp' now loses significance, again due to multicollinearity. See Appendix B for correlation coefficients.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

indicators. Thus in regressions 7 and 8 the variable extempdiff replaces 'meantemp' and the variable 'tropicar' is dropped due to multicollinearity. As before 'extempdiff' is positive and significant.

In the last regression two variables for precipitation are also added. 'Avrain' is average annual total rainfall and 'avrain2' is the same variable squared. The specifications of these variables were designed to test for non-linearity in the data. The findings confirm that by itself precipitation is not significant but in conjunction with its squared term it has a powerful impact on the dependent variable. The direction of this relationship was a surprising finding since the level of precipitation appears to have a negative influence on output but in light of the fact that it is only significant when 'rain2' is included (which enters as a positive factor) perhaps this could be reinterpreted. It could be that at low levels of precipitation an increase in the amount of precipitation will not increase output and in fact could have a negative impact if it contributed to an increase in infectious diseases, parasites, fungi etc.

Also the infrastructure of developing countries is susceptible to many factors and rainfall could easily disrupt many basic utilities such as energy, water and transportation (due to the conditions of roads). However at sufficiently high levels of precipitation the benefits accruing to agriculture may outweigh these factors and result in an overall positive impact on GDP per capita.

This interpretation is certainly open to debate. A better measure of precipitation would probably be the variability in rainfall. As Kamarck (1973)⁶ points out "Rainfall in the tropics is usually too much or too little. Average annual rainfall means little when one year may receive three times as much rain as the next, or when it does not rain evenly throughout a given season of the year but falls in torrents within brief periods".

Hence a measure of the variation in rainfall might be a better indicator to test whether or not precipitation is a factor in affecting output.

Table 3 displays results for estimating a model where the dependent variable is the average annual growth rate of GDP per capita over the period 1965-90. We follow the literature in specifying the basic model in which growth is a function of initial levels of GDP per capita, initial human capital measured by the log of average years of secondary schooling, initial human development measured by the log of life expectancy at birth, openness of the economy to international trade and the quality of public institutions. To this model we add a physical geography variable measuring the percentage of the population that lives within 100 km of the coast as well as our climate variables.

Regression 9 tests for climate effects using Gallup et al's (1999) 'tropicar' variable which is negative and significant as before indicating that tropical countries have had lower rates of income growth. Regression 10 adds the temperature variable 'meantemp' which is also significant but surprisingly the effect this time is positive, indicating that warmer countries have had higher growth rates. This result is robust even when a control for malaria, a measure of the malaria index in 1966 that was highly significant and important in the Gallup et al (1999) study, is included as in regression 11.

⁶ See Kamarck (1973), "The Tropics and Economic Development", p. 15-16.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Lastly, regression 12 tests for the effects of differences in temperature extremes on the dependent variable and the result is the same as before, i.e. positive and significant. However in this case 'tropicar' loses significance so it is eliminated from this specification of the model.

Overall the results in Table 3 prove to be somewhat conflicting, indicating that countries with wider ranges in extreme temperature (which are generally the more temperate countries) have grown faster in the specified period 1965-90, yet countries with higher mean temperatures have also grown faster. Reconciling these conflicting results merits further investigation since the two variables are highly negatively correlated (coeff. = -0.78) and will be one avenue for future research on this topic.

4. Conclusion

This study has provided additional evidence to suggest that climate, as defined specifically by temperature and rainfall, may have an important role in determining both the levels of output per capita and how fast a country grows. As suggested by Dell et al. (2014), since climatic and geographic variables are (largely) exogenously determined, reverse causation is unlikely to be of concern with these results. The puzzling nature of the link between climate and economic growth warrants further investigation and should serve as a springboard for more studies on this topic.

It is possible that the measurement of some variables such as political and institutional factors might influence the results based on the construction of those variables. To avoid the possible effects of such differences, an alternative approach might be to study income differentials within a country, such as the United States, and test to see whether climate has played a role in regional economic growth.

The increased availability of data on global weather has led to an increase in the use of GIS (geographical information systems) software and data sets in investigating weather-related phenomena as evidenced by recent research in the area. GIS has the advantage of being potentially more accurate since it corresponds to the particular surface area being analyzed instead of a countrywide average. This would be particularly helpful if one were to study differences in output and climate within a certain region or country, for instance Brazil.

As a final note, it should be stated that the purpose of studies such as this are not to suggest that geography *alone* is responsible for determining the economic outcome of a country, a concept that has come to be known as 'geographical determinism'. Instead, the intent is to draw attention to the fact that geography and climate do matter and *how* they matter is an area worthy of further investigation. If a particular technology or policy prescription works in a certain environment because of the right conditions, then adapting it to work in a different one where conditions are substantially altered would certainly require a commitment to R&D that may be beyond the scope of poorer countries but could be pursued in the developed world. In addition, policy or development might increasingly be tailored to regional conditions, even in more developed countries.

Appendix A

Description of variables used in the data set

The following variables were part of the data set created by Gallup et al (1999).

Refer to Gallup et al (1999) for details on the construction of these variables and the original sources used.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Tropicar – the proportion of a country's land area within the geographic tropics.

Pop100km – the proportion of a country's population in 1994 within 100 km of a coast or an ocean-navigable river.

Ope n6590 – the proportion of years between 65-90 inclusive that a country was open to trade. Also known as the Sachs-Warner index of openness.

Instit – the quality of public institutions averaged over five indicators.

Life x65 – the life expectancy at birth in 1965. From the United Nations (1965).

Sc hool – number of years of secondary schooling for the population in 1965. From Barro and Lee (1993).

GDP65 – purchasing power parity (PPP) adjusted Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita in 1965. From the Penn World Tables 5.6 (Summers and Heston (1994)).

GDPG6590 – PPP adjusted growth rates of GDP per capita from 1965-90. From the Penn World Tables 5.6 (Summers and Heston (1994)).

Malaria – the malaria index in 1966 based on a digitized map of the extent of malaria and the proportion of falciparum malaria from the World Health Organization (WHO (1967)).

The following climate variables were calculated by the author, based on data published by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC (1997)):

Meantemp — average temperature for a country in Fahrenheit. The raw data set lists average maximum and minimum monthly temperatures over a certain time period (which varies from station to station) for the months of January, April, July and October. These values are listed for every station for which observations are available for the particular country. We first calculated the mean monthly temperature by taking the mean of the maximum and minimum. Next we averaged over all four months and finally over all the stations in the country to come up with the country wide average.

Extempdiff – the difference between the average extreme maximum and average extreme minimum temperature for a country, in Fahrenheit. Values for extreme maximum temperatures and extreme minimum temperature over a certain time period at a particular station are listed for every station in the country. Hence we first calculated the average of each extreme for the country as a whole by averaging over all the stations for that country. Next we simply subtracted to get the difference between the two average extremes.

Avrain – total annual rainfall in inches for the country. The raw data set lists total annual rainfall for each station averaged over a particular time period. We simply took the average of all the stations for a particular country.

Rain2 – the value of avrain squared.

Appendix B

Table 4: Summary Statistics

Variable	Observations	Mean	Standard	Minimum	Maximum
			Deviation		

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Tropicar	150	.486682	.477491	0	1
pop100km	150	.4321408	.365913	О	1
open6590	140	.2494505	.3972599	О	1
Instit	98	5.682976	2.257114	2.27083	9.98437
lifex65	150	56.20533	12.06608	33.5	74.1
School	94	.6871422	.7107662	.008	3.508
Lnlifex	150	4.004725	.2245914	3.511545	4.305416
Lnschool	94	.9521193	1.223017	4.828314	1.255046
lgdp65	108	7.415965	.9565491	5.676754	9.362031
Meantemp	90	68.00389	12.16321	33.83	83.42
Extempdiff	90	68.61944	26.10794	25.15	138.51
Avrain	90	46.24533	29.31616	4.05	137.6
rain2	90	2988.519	3707.861	16.4025	18933.76
Malaria	144	.3200206	.4241056	0	1

Table 5: Correlations for Climate Variables and Malaria Index

	Tropicar	Meantemp	Extemp diff	AvRain	Rain2	Malaria
Tropicar	1.0000					
Meantemp	0.7790	1.0000				
ExTempDiff	-0.8515	-0.7855	1.0000			
AvRain	0.5615	0.4782	-0.6599	1.0000		
Rain2	0.4935	0.4643	-0.5989	0.9601	1.0000	
Malaria	0.6420	0.5741	-0.5154	0.2945	0.2591	1.0000

Appendix C

Climate Values for Countries in Dataset Country Rain Meantmp Extempdiff

(Inches)(Fahrenheit) (Fahrenheit)

Argentina 19.84 58.56 91.72

Australia 26.38 69.25 80.62

Research Journal of Economics and Social Science Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Austria	29.7	48.44	112.5
Benin	52.4	77.75	30
Burkina	Faso 40.	8 81.5	69.5
Banglade	esh 73.9	78.63	65
		53.44	
		58.56	
		75.33	
		30.01	
Canada	27.74	33.83	138.51
Switzerla	ınd 37.7	49.13	105.66
		53.39	
		56.01	
Cameroo	n 59.2	73.63	47.5
		77.92	
			3 25.15
		68.75	
Germany	27.3	47.55	107.28
		5 46.44	
		8 77.8	
		70.36	
Ecuador	38.74	64.75	52.97
		73.63	
		60.15	
		37.33	
	_ • ′ -		_

Country Rain Meantemp Extempdiff

(Inches)(Fahrenheit) (Fahrenheit)

•			, ,	
France	29.4	53.91	96.7	
Gabon	80.4	77.88	34	
UK	31.97	51.58	78.89	
Ghana	41.85	78.69	45	
Guinea	117	79.88	46.5	
Gambia	51	78	61	
GuineaB	issau 85.	9 79.7	⁷ 5 47	
Greece	20.63	64.57	84.25	
Guatema	ala 51.8	67.5	49	
Hong Ko	ng 85.1	72.63	3 65	
Hondura	ıs 96.1	78.25	38	
Indonesi	a 111.93	80.39	30.43	
India	87.82	75.57	68.92	

Research Journal of Economics and Social Science Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Ireland 35.83	49.88	74.33
Iran 10.38		
Israel 22.33		
Italy 29.03	61.07	81.38
Jamaica 31.5	79.25	41
Jordan 10.9	63.38	88
Japan 57.76	54.33	89.4
Kenya 42.5		
Korea, Rep 51.	4 54.57	100.5
Sri Lanka 92.3	80.5	40
Morocco 17.3		
Madagascar 35	5.2 73.6	7 53.66
Mexico 31.19		
Mali 19.1		
Mozambique 39	9.07 76	.12 71.66
Mauritania 6.8	82.04	1 74
Mauritius 50.6	5 74	45
Malawi 45.6	73	51
Malaysia 124.5	81.09	32.67
Namibia 9.75	68.13	77
Nigeria 54.8	79.72	53.75
Norway 42.2	3 41.29	99.33
New Zealand 39		
Pakistan 17.13	75.63	88.33
Panama 100	80.44	32.5
Peru 13.42	64.19	51.8
Philippines 79.	8 80.75	37.5
P. NewGuinea 8	88.6 81.	08 35
Portugal 33.03		
Paraguay 46.2	2 75.63	76
Senegal 25.8	79.69	61
Singapore 95	80.75	31
SierraLeone 137	7.6 79.5	36
El Salvador 70	76.75	60
Sweden 22.6	39.45	114.57
Syria 10.1	65.04	99.34
Chad 22.4	82.58	73.67
Togo 31	77.88	36
Thailand 57.8	80.75	54

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

Trin. & Tob. 64.2 79.25 49 Tunisia 11.6 65.69 91.5 Turkey 24.06 56.24 Taiwan 99.86 71.6 73.38 62.5 Uganda 72.81 53.45 47 Uruguay 43 63.38 83.5 U.S.A. 30.21 56.12 120.99 Venezuela 46.86 74.8 41.4 SouthAfrica 18.94 63 75.14 Zambia 40.9 70.08 62.33 Zimbabwe 28 66.38 67

References

- Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson.J. A. (2001). The colonial origins of comparative development. American Economic Review, 91:1369-1401.
- Barrios, S., Betinelli L & Stobl E. (2010). Trends in rainfall and economic growth in Africa: A neglected cause of the African growth tragedy. Review of Economics and Statistics, 92(2):350-66.
- Crosby, Alfred. (1986). Ecological Imperialism: The biological expansion of Europe, 900-1900. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University.
- Dell, M., Jones B. F. & Olken, B. A. (2009). Temperature and income: reconciling new cross-sectional and panel estimates. American Economic Review, 99(2): 198-204.
- Dell, M., Jones B. F. & Olken, B. A. (2012). Temperature shocks and economic growth: evidence from the last half century. American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 4(3): 66-95.
- Dell, M., Jones B. F. & Olken, B. A. (2014). What do we learn from the weather? The new climate-economy literature. Journal of Economic Literature, 52(3): 740-798.
- Deschenes, O., & Greenstone, M. (2011). Climate change, mortality and adaptation: evidence from annual fluctuations in weather in the US. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 3(4): 152-85.
- Diamond, Jared. (1997). Guns, germs and steel: The fates of human societies. New York: Norton.
- Gallup, J. L., Sachs J.D. & Mellinger A.W. (1999). Geography and economic development. International Regional Science Review, 22(2):179-232.
- Gallup, J. L. & J. D. Sachs (2000a). Agriculture, climate and technology: Why are the tropics falling behind? American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 82(3): 731-737.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

- Gallup, J. L. & Sachs, J. D. (2000b). The economic burden of malaria. [Online] Working Paper No. 52 Earth Institute. Center for International Development at Harvard University, Cambridge, MA. Available: http://hdl.handle.net/10022/AC:P:8427
- Guernier, V., Hochberg, M. E., & Guégan, J. F. (2004). Ecology drives the worldwide distribution of human diseases. PLoS biology, 2(6), e141.
- Haile, M. (2005). Weather patterns, food security and humanitarian response in sub-Saharan Africa. Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences, 360(1463): 2169-2182.
- Hidalgo, F. D., Naidu, S., Nicheter, S. & Richardson, N. (2010). Economic determinants of land invasions. Review of Economics and Statistics, 92(3): 505-23.
- Hsiang, S. M. (2010). Temperatures and cyclones strongly associated with economic production in the Caribbean and Central America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(35): 15367-72.
- Huntington, E. (1915). Civilization and Climate. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Kamarck, A. (1976). The tropics and economic development: A provocative inquiry into the poverty of nations. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Lafferty, K. (2009). The ecology of climate change and infectious diseases. Ecology, 90(4): 888-900.
- Landes, D. S. (1998). The wealth and poverty of nations: Why some are so rich and some so poor. New York: Norton.
- Masters, W. A. & McMillan, M. S.. (2001). Climate and scale in economic growth. Journal of Economic Growth, 6:167-186.
- Montesquieu, C. de (1748), The Spirit of the Laws. London: Nourse and Vaillant.
- National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). (1997). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. [Online] Available: https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/land-based-station-data/land-based-datasets
- Niemela, R., Hannula, M., Rautio, S., Reijula, K., & Railio, J. (2002). The effect of air temperature on labour productivity in call centres a case study. Energy and Buildings, 34(8): 759-64.
- Nordhaus, W. D. (2006). Geography and macroeconomics: new data and new findings. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(10): 3510-17.

Volume 11 Issue 1, January-March 2023

ISSN: 2995-4231 Impact Factor: 7.52

https://kloverjournals.org/journals/index.php/ess

- Rodrik, D., Subramanian, A., & Trebbi, F. (2004). Institutions rule: the primacy of institutions over geography and integration in economic development. Journal of Economic Growth, 9(2): 131-65.
- Sachs, J. (2001). Tropical underdevelopment. NBER Working Paper No. 8119, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Schelenker, W. & Lobell., D. B. (2010). Robust negative impacts of climate change on African agriculture. Environmental Research Letters, 5(1).
- Welch, J., Vincent, J. R., Maximillian A., Moya, P. F., Dobermann. A., & Dawe, D. (2010). Rice yields in tropical/subtropical Asia exhibit large but opposing sensitivities to minimum and maximum temperatures. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(33): 14562-67.
- Wolfe, N. D., Dunavan, C. P., & J. Diamond, J. 2007. Origins of major human infectious diseases. Nature, 447:279-283.